

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/527,106	03/16/2000	Scott P. Hunicke-Smith	G01-13NP	2185
759	90 05/01/2003	·		1
Barbara J Luther			EXAMINER	
Barbara J Luther chartered 18124 Wedge Parkway PMB 516 Reno, NV 89511-8134			QUAN, ELIZABETH S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A S.A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Surrey	09/527,106	HUNICKE-SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Elizabeth Quan	1743				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)∐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/527,106

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4 and 7, drawn to a polymer synthesizing apparatus, classified in class
 422, subclass 131.
 - II. Claim 6, drawn to an automated method of draining synthesis wells in a polymer synthesizer, classified in class 436, subclass 180.
 - III. Claim 8, drawn to an automated method for synthesizing polymers, classified in class 422, subclass 63.
 - IV. Claim 5, drawn to draining means, classified in class 422, subclass 99.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2) Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as maintaining a live cell culture. The process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, as the process does not recite the particulars of the process, such as a base with a synthesis case with a load station, drain station, aqueous reagent filling station, non-aqueous reagent filling station; synthesis block; means for moving the synthesis block; and reagent shelf.

Application/Control Number: 09/527,106

Art Unit: 1743

distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as maintaining a live cell culture. The process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, as the process does not recite the particulars of the process, such as a reagent shelf.

Page 3

- 4) Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as lysing cells and rinsing and sterilizing the containment unit without draining as in invention III. Invention III has separate utility such as a metering or dispensing diaphragm valve to dispense samples into a microtiter plate or other containment unit to perform an assay or maintain a live cell culture. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5) Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the draining means

Art Unit: 1743

with springs of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as draining a filter or other plates.

- 6) Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7) Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, Group I is not required for Group III, and Group II is not required from Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8) Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9) A telephone call was made to Barbara J. Luther on 4/22/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10) Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/527,106 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Quan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1947. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elizabeth Quan Examiner Art Unit 1743

eq April 28, 2003

> JAN LUDLOW PRIMARY EXAMINER

Son Willer)